

# PHYSICAL TESTING REPORT

Lucideon Reference:	UK232331			
Client:	Tudor Roof Tile Company Limited Denge Marsh Road Lydd Kent TN29 9JH			
For the Attention of:	Mr. Tomasz Solnica			
Date Logged:	23-Jun-2023			
Report Date:	12-Sep-2023			
Purchase Order No.:	TS230623			
Work Location:	Lucideon UK			

Please find attached the results for the samples recently submitted for analysis.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

Tests that are not UKAS Accredited are not included in the UKAS Accreditation schedule for our laboratory.

hur

Mr Richard Oliver Manager

This report is issued in accordance with the Conditions of Business of Lucideon Limited and relates only to the sample(s) tested. No responsibility is taken for the accuracy of the sampling unless this is done under our own supervision. This report shall not be reproduced in part withrout the written approval of Lucideon Limited, nor used in any way as to lead to misrepresentation of the results or their implications. Lucideon Limited Queens Road, Penkhull Stoke-on-Trent Staffordshire ST4 7LQ Page 1 of 3

T +44 (0)1782 764428

www.lucideon.com

enquiries@lucideon.com

Lucideon is the trading name of Lucideon Limited. Registered in England No. 1960455.

#### CLAY ROOFING TILES – TEST FOR FROST RESISTANCE FOR DISCONTINUOUS LAYING DETERMINATION OF PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS BS EN 539 Part 2 – Test for Frost Resistance 2013

## 1 SAMPLES RECEIVED

6 plain tiles with nominal dimensions of 265x165 mm were received for testing as sampled by client.

## 2 TEST PROCEDURE

#### 2.1 Saturation of Tiles

The samples were dried at 110°C, weighed and examined for existing defects, then progressively immersed in water over a period of five days. After the tiles are fully immersed they are then left to soak for a further 72 hours, then they are removed and weighed. The water absorption results are given in Table 2.

## 2.2 Freeze/Thaw Tests

The tiles were tested according to the method described in BS EN 539-2: 2013 European Single Test Method using the apparatus illustrated in that standard. The tiles were examined at 30, 90 and 150 cycles.

#### 2.3 Results

The tiles are assessed for damage using the criteria stated in Table 1.

#### Table 1 – Interpretation of the Results

		Front	Back
1	Pit	-	-
2	Hair Crack	-	-
3	Nascent Crack	-	-
4	Surface Crack	X	Xa
5	Surface Damage (chip, peeling, flaking)	X	Xa
6	Structural	X	Х
7	Loss of Interlocking ribs	X	Х
8	Break	X	Х
9	Delamination	X	Х
10	Loss of all Nibs		Х

**X** = unacceptable / - = acceptable

Note: the degree of damaging can be demonstrated through a change in the impermeability and/or flexural strength of the product.

<sup>a</sup> Where the degree of damage indicates that the functional performance of the product would not be assured.

	% Water Absorption	Frost Damage						
Tile No:		30 Cycles (Front)	30 Cycles (Back)	90 Cycles (Front)	90 Cycles (Back)	150 Cycles (Front)	150 Cycles (Back)	
1	5.7	No	No	No	No	No	No	
		Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	
2	6.6	No	No	No	No	No	No	
		Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	
3	6.0	No	No	No	No	No	No	
		Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	
4	5.9	No	No	No	No	No	No	
		Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	
5	6.2	No	No	No	No	No	No	
		Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	
6	6.0	No	No	No	No	No	No	
		Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	Damage	
Mean	6.1							

# Table 2 – Results

The tiles were examined after 30, 90 and 150 cycles and showed no damage due to the action of frost.

## **3 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The samples meet the criteria for level 1, if after 150 cycles, none of the tiles show any damage described as unacceptable according to the above standard in Table 1.

NOTE: The results given in this report apply only to the samples that have been tested.

**END OF TEST REPORT**