

PHYSICAL TESTING ANALYSIS REPORT

Description:	Freeze Thaw Clay Roof Tiles			
Test Method:	EN539			
Lucideon Reference:	(143220)-14828/CR1			
Client:	Tudor Roof Tile Company Limited Denge Marsh Road Lydd Kent TN29 9JH			
For the Attention of:	Paul Lythgoe			
Date Logged:	03-Jul-2014			
Date of Tests:	04-Aug-2014 to 02-Sep-2014			
Report Date:	18-May-2015			
Purchase Order No.:	PjL 270614			

Please find attached the results for the sample(s) recently submitted for analysis. Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

This report supersedes the report dated 12 September 2014 Ref: (143220) - 14828 Determination of Freeze Thaw.



Mr Simon Hall Author

This report is issued in accordance with the Conditions of Business of Lucideon Limited and relates only to the sample(s) tested. No responsibility is taken for the accuracy of the sampling unless this is done under our own supervision. This report shall not be reproduced in part without the written approval of Lucideon Limited, nor used in any way as to lead to misrepresentation of the results or their implications.

Lucideon Ltd Queens Road, Penkhull Stoke-on-Trent Staffordshire ST4 7LQ, UK Page 1 of 3

T +44 (0)1782 764428 enquiries@lucideon.com www.lucideon.com Reg. England 1960455

CLAY ROOFING TILES – TEST FOR FROST RESISTANCE FOR DISCONTINUOUS LAYING DETERMINATION OF PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS BS EN 539 Part 2 Test for Frost Resistance 2013

1 SAMPLES RECEIVED

6 plain tiles with nominal dimensions 268 x 165 mm. As sampled by client.

2 TEST PROCEDURE

2.1 Saturation of Tiles

The samples were dried at 110°C, weighed and examined for existing defects, then progressively immersed in water over a period of 5 days. After the tiles are fully immersed they are then left to soak for a further 72 hours, then they are removed and weighed. The water absorption results are given in Table 2.

2.2 Freeze/Thaw Tests

The tiles were tested according to the method described in BS EN 539-2: 2013 European Single Test Method using the apparatus illustrated in that standard. The tiles were examined at 30, 90 and 150 cycles.

2.3 Results

The tiles are assessed for damage using the criteria stated in Table 1.

Table 1	- Inter	pretation	of the	e Result
---------	---------	-----------	--------	----------

		Front	Back		
1	Pit	-	-		
2	Hair Crack	lair Crack -			
3	Nascent Crack	-	-		
4	Surface Crack	Х	Xa		
5	Surface Damage (chip, peeling, flaking)	Х	Xa		
6	Structural	Х	Х		
7	Loss of Interlocking ribs	Х	Х		
8	Break	Х	Х		
9	Delamination	Х	Х		
10	Loss of all Nibs		X		
Note: the de	ceptable / - = acceptable gree of damaging can be demonstrated through a change in the impe the degree of damage indictates that the functional perf				

Table 2

Tile No:	% Water	Frost Damage					
	Absorption	30 Cycles (Front)	30 Cycles (Back)	90 Cycles (Front)	90 Cycles (Back)	150 Cycles (Front)	150 Cycles (Back)
1	4.9	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage
2	5.8	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage
3	5.2	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage
4	4.4	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage
5	5.1	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage
6	5.1	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage	No Damage
Mean	5.1						

The tiles were examined after 150 cycles for signs of damage due to the action of frost.

3 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The sample meets the criteria for level 1 minimum 150 cycles in the above standard.

END OF TEST REPORT