



0013

PHYSICAL TESTING ANALYSIS REPORT

Description: Determination of Frost Resistance

Test Method: EN 539-2:2013

Lucideon Reference: (163535)-23968

Client: Tudor Roof Tile Company Limited
Denge Marsh Road
Lydd
Kent
TN29 9JH

For the Attention of: Mr. Paul John Lythgoe

Date Logged: 04-Aug-2016

Date of Tests: 08-Aug-2016 to 30-Aug-2016

Report Date: 31-Aug-2016

Purchase Order No.: PJL110716

Please find attached the results for the sample(s) recently submitted for analysis.
Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

Mr Simon Hall
Manager

Lucideon Reference: (163535)-23968
Customer Reference: Antique, Sussex, Romney Mix
Description: Tudor Roof Tile



**CLAY ROOFING TILES –
 TEST FOR FROST RESISTANCE FOR DISCONTINUOUS LAYING
 DETERMINATION OF PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS
 BS EN 539-2: 2013**

1 SAMPLES RECEIVED

6 x plain tiles with nominal dimensions 265mm x 165mm, as sampled by client.

2 TEST PROCEDURE

2.1 Saturation of Tiles

The samples were dried at 110°C, weighed and examined for existing defects, then progressively immersed in water over a period of 5 days. After the tiles are fully immersed they are then left to soak for a further 72 hours, then they are removed and weighed. The water absorption results are given in Table 2.

2.2 Freeze/Thaw Tests

The tiles were tested according to the method described in BS EN 539-2: 2013 European Single Test Method using the apparatus illustrated in that standard. The tiles were examined at 30, 90 and 150 cycles.

2.3 Results

The tiles are assessed for damage using the criteria stated in Table 1.

Table 1 - Interpretation of the Result

		Front	Back
1	Pit	-	-
2	Hair Crack	-	-
3	Nascent Crack	-	-
4	Surface Crack	X	X ^a
5	Surface Damage (chip, peeling, flaking)	X	X ^a
6	Structural	X	X
7	Loss of Interlocking ribs	X	X
8	Break	X	X
9	Delamination	X	X
10	Loss of all Nibs		X

X = unacceptable / **-** = acceptable

Note: the degree of damaging can be demonstrated through a change in the impermeability and/or flexural strength of the product

^a Where the degree of damage indicates that the functional performance of the product would not be assured.

Lucideon Reference: (163535)-23968
Customer Reference: Antique, Sussex, Romney Mix
Description: Tudor Roof Tile



Table 2

Tile No:	% Water Absorption	Frost Damage					
		30 Cycles (Front)	30 Cycles (Back)	90 Cycles (Front)	90 Cycles (Back)	150 Cycles (Front)	150 Cycles (Back)
1	5.2	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE
2	6.0	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE
3	6.0	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE
4	7.1	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE
5	5.7	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE
6	6.2	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE
Mean	6.0	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE

The tiles were examined after 150 cycles for signs of damage due to the action of frost.

3 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The sample meet the criteria for level 1 minimum 150 cycles in the above standard.

END OF TEST REPORT